

Exhibit 12

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3 (SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

4

5

6 IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)

7 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No.

8 07-5944 SC

9 MDL No. 1917

10 - - - - -

11 This Document Relates to:

12 INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS

13 - - - - -

14 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

15 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

16 - - - - -

17 STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

18 Plaintiffs

19 vs. Case No.

20 CGC-11-51584

21 SAMSUNG SDI, INC., CO., LTD., et al.,

22

23 Defendants.

24 - - - - -

25

1

2 VIDEOTAPED TRANSCRIPT of ALVIN GUTTMAN

3 in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before

4 LORRAINE B. ABATE, a Certified Shorthand Reporter,

5 Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public,

6 held at the offices of White & Case, 701 Thirteenth

7 Street, NW, Washington, DC, on October 11, 2012,

8 commencing at time 10:03 a.m., pursuant to Notice.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Guttman - October 11, 2012

2 A. Probably the one I purchased.

3 Q. And do you recall what size that was?

4 MR. GRALEWSKI: Object to the form.

5 Asked and answered.

6 A. It might have been 17 inches.

7 Q. Okay. Was the manufacturer of the
8 cathode ray tube inside the monitor important?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And why was that important?

11 A. Because that would affect the overall
12 operability of the monitor.

13 Q. Do you know who the manufacturer of the
14 cathode ray tube in the monitor was?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Was the fact that the product contained
17 a CRT important to you when you purchased the
18 product?

19 A. Could you rephrase that.

20 Q. Was it important to you that there was a
21 CRT inside the product?

22 A. If that was its function, yes.

23 Q. And how so?

24 A. I suppose I could have bought an LCD
25 screen also.